IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 2111 of 2001 (S/S)
(Old No. 35042 of 1999)

1. Sahaj Ram Yadav
   S/o Jhabboo Lal
   R/o Village 31, Rajiv Nagar
   Dehradun (Amin)
2. Mukesh Kumar
   S/o Late Jyoti Ram
   R/o Village 161, Saharanpur Road
   Dehradun (Amin)
3. Sharan Singh, S/o Panch Ram
   R/o Mathaioo, P.O. Datnoo
   Via Sahai, District Dehradun (Peon)

……Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of U.P. through
   Secretary Board of Revenue, Lucknow
2. The Commissioner, Pauri Garhwal Division
   Pauri Garhwal.
3. District Magistrate, Dehradun.
4. Sub-Divisional Magistrate
   Tehsil Chakrata, District Dehradun.
5. The Tehsildar, Chakrata
   District Dehradun.

……Respondents.

None for the petitioners.
Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J

None present for the petitioners.

2. Petitioners, who are working as seasonal collection Amins and seasonal collection Peons, have challenged their disengagement.

3. In view of the principle of law laid down in ***Secretary, State of Karnataka Vs. Uma Devi, reported in (2006) 4 Supreme Court Cases, Pg. 01,*** wherein it has

been held that the workers on daily wages or the persons who get employed without undergoing regular selection process, should not be permitted to be absorbed or regularised against the constitutional scheme of public employment, this Court is not inclined to issue direction to regularize services of the petitioners, as such, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. The writ petition is dismissed. Interim order, if any, stands vacated. (All pending applications also stand disposed of).

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)

Dt. 21.11.2006
S